

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,987	11/12/2003	Terrence W. Schmidt	1934-8-3	7342
75	90 11/07/2005		EXAMINER	
Bryan A. Santarelli			OLSON, LARS A	
GRAYBEAL JACKSON HALEY LLP Suite 350  ART UNIT F			PAPER NUMBER	
155 - 108th Avenue NE			3617	
Bellevue, WA 98004-5901			DATE MAILED: 11/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

9	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/712,987	SCHMIDT ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Lars A. Olson	3617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 24 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folking places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compart following time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date or</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of pwing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replies of the final rejection.	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C y must be filed within	ence, which CFR 41.31; or one of the			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the as after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ny reduce any			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expenses a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS</li> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further company.</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)) be filed within the time period set for but prior to the date of filing a brie busideration and/or search (see NO	), to avoid dismissal on orth in 37 CFR 41.37( f, will <u>not</u> be entered	of the appeal. a).			
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beld (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))</li> </ul>	etter form for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally re		the issues for			
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> </ul>	121. See attached Notice of Non-C					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profit The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to: 2,3,12-17,22,23 and 25.  Claim(s) rejected: 1,4-11,18-21,24 and 26.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	□ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	out before or on the date of filing a North number of the affidation of the sufficient reasons why the affidation	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessan. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a (1).			

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

13. 

Other: See Continuation Sheet.

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 13. Other: Thompson (US 5,791,600) discloses a vessel 32 with one or more mission modules 8, where each mission module has a plurality of cargo racks 24 that are capable of holding cargo that can be removed from each mission module through a hatch 28 and hatch opening 20, as described in lines 9-30 of column 4. Thus, the rejection of claims 1, 4-6, 8-11, 18-21, 24 and 26 is deemed proper and is not withdrawn. Cushing (US 3,602,730) discloses a vessel with a power supply module 32 that provides electrical power to a plurality of cargo containers 22 carried within said vessel. Said power supply module is also inherently capable of providing electrical power to said vessel if desired or necessary through a power distribution system. Thus, the rejection of claims 1, 7 and 19 is deemed proper and is not withdrawn.

LARS A. OLSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Twis Obon 11/1/05

2